

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,826	10/30/2003	Young-Gun Ko	SAM-0449 9264		
7590 10/18/2005			EXAMINER		
Anthony P. Onello, Jr.			CRANE, SARA W		
MILLS & ONE	LLO LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Eleven Beacon	Street	2811			
Boston, MA 02108			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/697,826		KO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sara W. Crane		2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHI WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMI 1.136(a). In no event, however, lod will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	ely filed me mailing date of this co				
Status	•							
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for forma			e merits is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-26 and 47-71</u> is Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> Claim(s) <u>27-46 and 72-92</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and is/are objected to restriction and is/are object.</u>	are withdrawn from co						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contraction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in rection is required if the d	abeyance. See rawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) Dee of References Cited (PTO-892) Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Ter No(s)/Mail Date	Pa /08) 5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Dat tice of Informal Pa her:		O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/697,826 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

This case is on the examiner's amended docket, but there doesn't seem to be any response from the previous Office action (dated 7/27/2005) in the scanned image file. The PALM system shows a response dated 7/28/2005, but no paper of this date was found by the examiner in the scanned images. (Also, it seems a little odd that a response would have been filed only one day after the mail date of the previous Office action.) At any rate, the Office action below is merely a duplicate of the action of 7/27/2005. If there was indeed a response of 7/28/2005, or any other paper submitted on that date, Applicant is requested to resubmit the paper in response to this Office action. This action should reset the time for response to begin on the mail date of this action.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims should probably depend from claim 30, where the "second source/drain regions" are recited. (?)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/697,826

Art Unit: 2811

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27-37, 41-43, 45-46, 72-83, 87-89, and 91-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiyama.

With respect to claim 27, figure 10 of Nishiyama teaches a MOS transistor having a gate dielectric 204, 208, on substrate 202, and gate electrode 216 on the gate dielectric. Layer 210, 212 is adjacent (or nearby) the gate dielectric layer at the lower portions of the gate electrode, and there are insulating spacers (part of layer 215) on layer 210, 212 at an upper side portion of the gate electrode. It would have been obvious to make layer 210, 212 as an epitaxial layer, because a selective epitaxial growth deposition process is taught (column 12, lines 32-35), in order to obtain the high quality crystallinity which would arise.

With respect to claim 28, layers 205, 208 wrap around the gate electrode. Doped regions form "first" source/drain regions at 210, 212, and "second" source/drain regions are below at 213, 214, extending into the substrate. The semiconductor is silicon (column 12, line 36). Silicon oxide would have been obvious for gate dielectric, because this is the most commonly used material for this purpose, and it must be either deposition or grown by oxidation. Conductive materials of claims 43 and 45-46 would have been obvious to increase the conductivity of a contact or electrode, and silicides as noted are in addition refractory.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,826

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 38-40 and 84-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiyama in view of Liu.

It would have been obvious to provide a recessed channel as shown in the cover figure of Liu in the Nishiyama device, in order to decouple shallow junctions, as noted in for example the Liu abstract. It would have been obvius to optimize the trench depth, consistent with other device dimensions, i.e., a small device would have small dimensions.

Claims 44 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiyama in view of Lee et al.

An oxide sidewall buffer, such as Lee et al. 27 (column 3, line 55) would have been obvious for the Nishiyama device, in order to provide improved dielectric constant, or for enhanced isolation, in order to isolate the gate electrode from adjacent conductors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1652.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara W. Crane Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2811